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Description of the Presentation

A description of “Welfare Reform and the Perinatal Health of Immigrants,” an active research study funded by the Maternal and Child Health Bureau, follows. Dr. Howard Minkoff of the State University of New York (SUNY), Downstate Medical Center, is the principal investigator of the study. Dr. Minkoff will discuss results of the vital data analysis during the roundtable presentation.

Statement of the Problem

The United States’ new immigrants may be the first to experience the public health effects of recent welfare reform instituted through the Personal
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Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA). While that legislation ended the guarantee of cash welfare assistance to all income-eligible families, the changes it mandated in the Medicaid program disproportionately affect immigrants. Because of widespread fear and confusion among immigrants about Medicaid eligibility, the consequences of this new law for maternal and infant health may be dramatic, especially in urban areas where large proportions of new mothers are immigrants. Subsequent legislation may also influence the perinatal outcomes of these women's newborns.

Research Objectives

The study's objective is to determine whether PRWORA will affect the health of immigrant women and their newborns. It is hypothesized that (1) PRWORA will affect the health of immigrant women and their newborns, and (2) maternal-newborn outcomes will vary according to the Medicaid policies of the state in which the women reside.

Study Design and Methods

The research design involves a three-tiered strategy for the collection of data. First, the authors will conduct interviews with health officials and administrators to examine new regulations and state policies as they are implemented in four states with large immigrant populations: California, Florida, Texas, and New York. Second, they will analyze vital data sets in these states using a series of cross sections of birth certificate data collected before and after the PRWORA policies were implemented. Third, they will interview postpartum women in sentinel hospitals (i.e., hospitals with high percentages of immigrant and indigent female patients) in three of the four states (California, Florida, and New York), and then will interview them again after 5 to 7 months to determine if they received postpartum and newborn care.

Population Description and Sampling Plan

The commissioner of health and social services, the CEOs of sentinel hospitals, and chairs of obstetrics in each of the three states will be interviewed. Latino immigrant women (primarily from Mexico, Central and South America, and the Caribbean) and U.S.-born Latino women will be interviewed as well.

Analysis Plan

The manner in which these three data sets will be used to reach the study goals is illustrated in Tables 1–3.

Table 1. Client Data Collection for Hypothesis I: PRWORA Will Affect the Health of Immigrant Women and Their Newborns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Co-variates</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid and benefits status</td>
<td>Drug use, smoking, cultural factors, etc.</td>
<td>Preterm birth; intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR); adequacy of prenatal, postpartum, or newborn care; etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Source of data | Interview/chart review | Interview/chart review | Chart review/Patient follow-up |

Table 2. Client Data Collection for Hypothesis II: Maternal-Newborn Outcomes Will Vary According to the Medicaid Policies of the State in Which Women Reside.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Co-variates</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Medicaid regulations</td>
<td>Drug use, smoking, cultural factors, etc.</td>
<td>Preterm birth; IUGR; adequacy of prenatal, postpartum, or newborn care; etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Source of data | Medicaid official interview to determine eligibility criteria | Interview/chart review | Chart review/Patient follow-up |


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Intermediate Variable</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Locale</td>
<td>Birthplace (country)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Source of data | Birth certificates | Birth certificates | Birth certificates |
Data gathered from the client interviews will be analyzed according to general linear models (for continuous variables), logistic regression models (for discrete variables), or multinomial logit models (for discrete outcomes with more than two levels). A differences-in-differences estimate will be used to relate the change over time of the treatment group (immigrants) to that of the control group (U.S.-born women) in each of the study states and then to compare those differences to assess the effects of the 1996 and 1997 legislation.

Findings to Date

Dr. Joyce and his colleagues compared changes in the financing of prenatal care, the utilization of prenatal care, and birth outcomes among a subset of the study population: U.S.- and foreign-born Latinos in California, New York City, and Texas between 1995 and 1998. They focused on Latino immigrant women in these areas for three reasons: (1) the largest proportion of infants born to foreign-born women in California, New York City, and Texas are born to Latinos; (2) more than half of all births of infants born to Latinos in California and New York City in 1995 were financed by Medicaid, and Latinos were therefore particularly vulnerable to the potential withdrawal of benefits under PRWORA, or to becoming confused about eligibility requirements; and (3) a relatively large number of infants are born to U.S.-born Latinos in the three study areas. Because women in the control group are U.S. born, they do not perceive themselves as being threatened, as do many immigrants, with the immediate loss of Medicaid under PRWORA. U.S.-born Latinos, therefore, are a natural comparison group with which to analyze the effects of PRWORA on the perinatal health and behavior of their foreign-born counterparts.

No change was found in the percentage of uninsured; the percentage of births to women who initiated prenatal care early; or the percentage of low-birthweight, very-low-birthweight, and preterm births. Thus, despite reports of widespread fear and confusion in the immigrant community following the implementation of PRWORA, there is no evidence from vital statistics in these locations that basic patterns of care or outcomes among pregnant foreign-born Latinos (as compared to their U.S.-born counterparts) have changed significantly or consistently since PRWORA took effect.